

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,441	09/21/2001	Newell E. Chiesl	01-384	5776
24319 7	590 06/25/2003			
LSI LOGIC CORPORATION 1621 BARBER LANE MS D-106, LEGAL DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
			SIMKOVIC, VIKTOR	
MILPITAS, CA 95035	A 95035		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner Viktor Simkovic 2812 Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on th cover sheet with the correspondence address P riod f r Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. E detensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely flied after StX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is expedified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is expedified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is expedified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is expedified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is expedified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is expedified above, the maximum statutory period will expire StX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallers to reply within the object of the maximum statutory period will expire StX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and period frequency and the maximum statutory period will expire StX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and period frequency and the maximum statutory period will expire StX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and period frequency and the maximum statutory period will expire StX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and period frequency and the maximum statutory period will expire StX (8)				
Examiner Viktor Simkovic 2812				
Viktor Simkovic Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Priod frReply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.134(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2003. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 17,19,20 and 22-28 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 3 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Priod f r Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply with specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 135). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2003. - Palure patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - This action is FINAL. - This action is FINAL. - This action is FINAL. - This action is non-final. - Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. - Disposition of Claims - A) Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-28 is/are pending in the application. - 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. - Since this application and 22-28 is/are rejected. - Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are objected to. - Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are objected to. - Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are objected to. - This action is objected to by the Examiner.				
P riod f r Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (e) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above is less than thiny (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (e) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17,19,20 and 22-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for repty is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repty within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for repty is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to repty within the set or extended period for repty will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any repty received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 17,19,20 and 22-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17,19,20 and 22-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17,19,20 and 22-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17,19,20 and 22-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
4) Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17,19,20 and 22-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 17,19,20 and 22-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 17,19,20 and 22-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
6) ☐ Claim(s) 17,19,20 and 22-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
7) Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 				
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 17 is objected to because of the following informalities: The phrase "converting a capacitance of said capacitor to pressure" makes no sense, as it implies generating a pressure on the wafer, which the examiner believes is not meant here.

The examiner suggests changing the phrase to "converting a capacitance measurement of said capacitor to a pressure measurement". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 23-24 recite the limitation ""deactivating said arrangement via said switch" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smesny et al. in view of Fisher. Smesny et al. teach a method of fabricating a semiconductor wafer, comprising :

Art Unit: 2812

subjecting said semiconductor wafer to a pressure;

measuring said pressure with a pressure measurement device supported on said wafer, said pressure measurement device including a pressure transducer, a signal conditioning circuit located on the wafer.

See abstract as well as column 9, lines 27-37. What Smesny et al. fail to specifically teach is the use of a capacitive pressure sensor. This is taught by Fisher in column 3, lines 21-27, where Fisher specifically mentions "capacitive MEMS pressure sensors". Furthermore, the examiner maintains that the phrase "capacitance to pressure conversion circuitry" entails nothing more than some kind of signal processing circuitry, which is taught by Smesny et al. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize a capacitive pressure sensor with the method of Smesny et al., since this type of sensor is well suited to be incorporated onto a substrate, as Fisher suggests. With regard to claims 19-20, Smesny et al. teach storing the measured data in storage circuitry located on the substrate as well sending the data to a receiver with transmitter circuitry coupled to said signal processing circuitry (see abstract).

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sparks.

Sparks teaches a method of forming a semiconductor wafer comprising the steps:

forming a capacitor on the semiconductor wafer by fabricating first and second metal plates on the wafer separated by a void (Fig. 4).

Sparks does not specifically include the steps of subjecting the wafer to pressure and measuring said pressure using the capacitor, but this is in fact the method of using the

Art Unit: 2812

pressure sensor taught by Sparks. It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the pressure sensor in this way. Regarding claim 28, Sparks also teaches a protective layer.

Claim 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smesny et al. in view of Fisher as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Sparks as applied to claim 27. See comments made above for claims 27-28.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 21-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior art of record fails to teach the method of forming a capacitor on a wafer along with signal processing circuitry such that current time circuitry is included on the wafer and the pressure measured is associated with the current time.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2812

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Page 5

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Viktor Simkovic whose telephone number is 703-308-

6170. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 9:00 - 6:00, except every

other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on 703-308-3325. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722

for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1782.

Viktor Simkovic

June 22, 2003

John F. Niebling Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800